

*Miroshnichenko N.V.,
Candidate of Law Sciences,
Associate Professor, Head of law department, Economic faculty,
Stavropol State Agrarian University*

DIFFERENTIATION OF BASES AND TYPES OF LAW RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROFESSIONAL FEATURES INTERRUPTION

Performance of professional functions is an important part of the modern people practice. Deviations cannot be excluded from the standards of quality and safety for a variety of reasons that may cause negative property, personal, environmental and other impacts. Lack of interest of society as they occur these effects leads to a need for creation of a system of technical, organizational, managerial, educational, psychological, physical, legal and other means aimed to minimize the number of non-fulfillment of professional features. A special place is occupied by the system of legal regulations, differentiated by various branches of law and establishing a variety of incentives for the proper performance of his or her professional duties, and various penalties for the breach of professional obligations and rights.

A combination of motivation and responsibility – is justified and legitimate device of legal prophylactic effect. Their comprehensive study is a prerequisite for a full understanding of the legal system of prevention of occupational disorders. The main attention is paid to the design and application of legal means to respond to already committed violation of professional features. This reaction is called the legal liability in law.

The question of the delimitation of ad-

ministrative offenses of crimes attracted an attention of lawyers in the theoretical and practical aspects. Science has gained considerable experience and knowledge in this field to date. That is why we pay attention to some common criteria. We are interested mainly in the level of legislative decision in this case.

Administrative offense and the offense would apply equally to a group of public wrongdoing. Therefore, the distinction between them cannot be made on the grounds of the form of disturbed interests. Public danger is the criterion of distinction.

Public danger of offense of professional functions is determined by two main features: the specifics violated professional rules and also as the presence and size of the consequences of such violation. However, not all of these criteria can claim the role of differentiation.

Thus, the violation of professional features, suggests the possibility of bringing a person to the responsibilities of the different types, depending on the content of the disturbed and characteristics of damages according to function law. This fact testifies about the broad set of legal means influence on violators of labor discipline. On the other hand, this makes a serious problem of demarcating and combining of these types responsibility.